

Inverse problems for Schrödinger equations with Yang-Mills potentials in domains with obstacles and the Aharonov-Bohm effect.

G.Eskin, Department of Mathematics, UCLA,
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, USA. E-mail: eskin@math.ucla.edu

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We study the inverse boundary value problems for the Schrödinger equations with Yang-Mills potentials in a bounded domain $\Omega_0 \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ containing finite number of smooth obstacles $\Omega_j, 1 \leq j \leq r$. We prove that the Dirichlet-to-Neumann operator on $\partial\Omega_0$ determines the gauge equivalence class of the Yang-Mills potentials. We also prove that the metric tensor can be recovered up to a diffeomorphism that is identity on $\partial\Omega_0$.

1 Introduction.

Let Ω_0 be a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbf{R}^n$, diffeomorphic to a ball, $n \geq 2$, containing r smooth nonintersecting obstacles $\Omega_j, 1 \leq j \leq r$. Consider the Schrödinger equation in $\Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus (\cup_{j=1}^r \overline{\Omega_j})$ with Yang-Mills potentials

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^n \left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} I_m + A_j(x) \right)^2 u + V(x)u - k^2 u = 0$$

with the boundary conditions

$$(1.2) \quad u|_{\partial\Omega_j} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r,$$

$$(1.3) \quad u|_{\partial\Omega_0} = f(x'),$$

where $A_j(x), V(x), u(x)$ are $m \times m$ matrices, I_m is the identity matrix in $\mathbf{C}^m$. Let $G(\Omega)$ be the gauge group of all smooth nonsingular matrices in $\overline{\Omega}$. Potentials $A(x) = (A_1, \dots, A_n), V$ and $A'(x) = (A'_1, \dots, A'_n), V'(x)$ are called gauge equivalent if there exists $g(x) \in G(\Omega)$ such that

$$(1.4) \quad A'(x) = g^{-1}Ag - ig^{-1}(x)\frac{\partial g}{\partial x}, \quad V' = g^{-1}Vg.$$

Let Λ be the Dirichlet-to-Neumann (D-to-N) operator on $\partial\Omega_0$, i.e.

$$\Lambda f = \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + i(A \cdot \nu)u \right) |_{\partial\Omega_0},$$

where $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n)$ is the unit outward normal to $\partial\Omega_0$ and $u(x)$ is the solution of (1.1), (1.2), (1.3)). We assume that the Dirichlet problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.3)) has a unique solution. We shall say that the D-to-N operators Λ and Λ' are gauge equivalent if there exists $g_0 \in G(\Omega)$ such that

$$\Lambda' = g_{0,\partial\Omega_0} \Lambda g_{0,\partial\Omega_0}^{-1},$$

where $g_{0,\partial\Omega_0}$ is the restriction of g_0 to $\partial\Omega_0$. We shall prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that D-to-N operators Λ' and Λ corresponding to potentials (A', V') and (A, V) respectively are gauge equivalent for all $k \in (k_0 - \delta_0, k_0 + \delta_0)$, where $k_0 > 0$, $\delta_0 > 0$. Then potentials (A', V') and (A, V) are gauge equivalent too.*

If we replace A', V' by $A^{(1)} = g_0^{-1}A'g_0 - ig_0^{-1}\frac{\partial g_0}{\partial x}$, $V^{(1)} = g_0^{-1}Vg_0$ then $\Lambda = \Lambda_1$ where Λ_1 is the D-to-N operator corresponding to $(A^{(1)}, V^{(1)})$. The proof of Theorem 1.1 gives that if $\Lambda = \Lambda_1$ then (A, V) and $(A^{(1)}, V^{(1)})$ are gauge equivalent with a gauge $g \in G(\Omega)$ such that $g|_{\partial\Omega_0} = I_m$. We shall denote the subgroup of $G(\Omega)$ consisting of g such that $g(x)|_{\partial\Omega_0} = I_m$ by $G_0(\Omega)$. In the case when Ω_0 contains no obstacles Theorem 1.1 was proven in [E] for $n \geq 3$ and in [E3] for $n = 2$. Note that the result of [E] is stronger since it requires that $\Lambda = \Lambda^{(1)}$ for one value of k only. In the case $n = 2$ the proof of Theorem 1.1 is simpler than that in [E3] since it does not rely on the uniqueness of the inversion of the non-abelian Radon transform.

We shall prove Theorem 1.1 in two steps. In §2 we shall prove that (A, V) and $(A^{(1)}, V^{(2)})$ are locally gauge equivalent using the reduction to the inverse problem for the hyperbolic equations as in [B], [B1], [KKL], [KL], [E1], and in §3 we shall prove the global gauge equivalence using the results of §2 and of [E2]. Following Yang and Wu (see [WY]) one can describe the gauge equivalence class of $A = (A_1, \dots, A_n)$. Fix a point $x^{(0)} \in \partial\Omega_0$ and consider all closed paths γ in Ω starting and ending at $x^{(0)}$. Let $x = \gamma(\tau)$, $0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_0$, be a parametric equation of γ , $\gamma(0) = \gamma(\tau_0) = x^{(0)}$. Consider the Cauchy problem for the system

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} c(\tau, \gamma) = \frac{d\gamma(\tau)}{d\tau} \cdot A(\gamma(\tau))c(\tau, \gamma), \quad c(0, \gamma) = I_m.$$

By the definition the gauge phase factor $c(\gamma, A)$ is $c(\tau_0, \gamma)$. Therefore A defines a map of the group of paths to $GL(m, \mathbf{C})$. The image of this map is a subgroup of $GL(m, \mathbf{C})$ which is called the holonomy group of A (see [Va]). It is easy to show (c.f. §3) that $c(\gamma, A^{(1)}) = c(\gamma, A^{(2)})$ for all closed paths γ iff $A^{(1)}$ and $A^{(2)}$ are gauge equivalent in Ω . As it was shown by Aharonov and Bohm [AB] the presence of distinct gauge equivalent classes of potentials can be detected in an experiment and this phenomenon is called the Aharonov-Bohm effect. In § 4 we consider the recovery of the Riemannian metrics from the D-to-N operator in domains with obstacles.

2 Inverse problem for the hyperbolic system.

Consider two hyperbolic system:

$$(2.1) \quad L^{(p)}u = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u^{(p)} + \sum_{j=1}^n \left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} I_m + A_j^{(p)}(x) \right)^2 u^{(p)} + V^{(p)}(x) u^{(p)} = 0, \quad p = 1, 2,$$

in $\Omega \times (0, T_0)$ with zero initial conditions

$$(2.2) \quad u^{(p)}(x, 0) = u_t^{(p)}(x, 0) = 0$$

and the Dirichlet boundary conditions

$$(2.3) \quad u^{(p)}|_{\partial\Omega_j \times (0, T_0)} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r, \quad u^{(p)}|_{\partial\Omega_0 \times (0, T_0)} = f(x', t), \quad p = 1, 2.$$

Here $\Omega = \Omega_0 \setminus (\cup_{j=1}^r \overline{\Omega_j})$ is the same as in §1, $A_j^{(p)}(x)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $V^{(p)}(x)$, $u^{(p)}(x, t)$, $p = 1, 2$, are smooth $m \times m$ matrices. As in §1 introduce D-to-N operators $\Lambda^{(p)} f = (\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} + i \sum_{j=1}^n A_j^{(p)} \cdot \nu_j) u|_{\partial\Omega_0 \times (0, T_0)}$, $p = 1, 2$.

Making the Fourier transform in t one can show that the D-to-N operator for (2.1) when $T_0 = \infty$ determines the D-to-N operator for (1.1) for all k except a discrete set, and vice versa.

We shall prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $\Lambda^{(1)} = \Lambda^{(2)}$ and $T_0 > \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega}} d(x, \partial\Omega_0)$ where $d(x, \partial\Omega_0)$ is the distance in $\overline{\Omega}$ from $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ to $\partial\Omega_0$. Then potentials $A_j^{(1)}(x)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $V^{(1)}(x)$ and $A_j^{(2)}(x)$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $V^{(2)}(x)$ are gauge equivalent in $\overline{\Omega}$, i.e. (1.4) holds with $g \in G_0(\overline{\Omega})$.*

Note that Theorem 2.1 implies Theorem 1.1. We can consider a more general than (2.1) equation when the Euclidean metric is replaced by an arbitrary Riemannian metric:

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{\partial^2 u^{(p)}}{\partial t^2} + \sum_{j,k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{g_p(x)}} \left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} I_m + A_j^{(p)}(x) \right) \sqrt{g_p(x)} g_p^{jk}(x) \left(-i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} I_m + A_k^{(p)}(x) \right) u^{(p)} + V^{(p)}(x) u^{(p)}(x, t) = 0,$$

where $\|g_p^{jk}(x)\|^{-1}$ are metric tensors in $\overline{\Omega}^{(p)}$, $g_p(x) = \det \|g_p^{jk}\|^{-1}$, $A_j^{(p)}(x)$, $V^{(p)}(x)$ are the same as in (2.1), $\Omega^{(p)} = \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{\Omega_p'}$, $\Omega_p' = \cup_{j=1}^r \Omega_{jp}$. Let Γ be an open subset of $\partial\Omega_0$ and let $0 < T < T_0$ be small. Denote by $\Delta(0, T)$ the intersection of the domain of influence of Γ with $\partial\Omega_0 \times [0, T]$. We assume that the domain of influence of Γ does not intersect $\overline{\Omega_p'} \times [0, T]$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose $\Lambda^{(1)} = \Lambda^{(2)}$ on $\Delta(0, T)$. There exist neighborhoods $U^{(p)} \subset \Omega^{(p)}$, $p = 1, 2$, $\overline{U^{(p)}} \cap \partial\Omega_0 = \overline{\Gamma}$ and the diffeomorphism $\varphi : U^{(1)} \rightarrow U^{(2)}$ such that $\varphi|_{\Gamma} = I$ and $\|g_2^{jk}\| = \varphi \circ \|g_1^{jk}\|$. Moreover $A_j^{(1)}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $V^{(1)}$ and $\varphi \circ A_j^{(2)}$, $1 \leq j \leq n$, $\varphi \circ V^{(2)}$ are gauge equivalent in $U^{(1)}$, i.e. there exists $g(x) \in G_0(\overline{U^{(1)}})$, $g(x) = I$ on Γ such that (1.4) holds in $\overline{U^{(1)}}$.*

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is the same as the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [E1]. One should replace only the inner products of the form $\int u(x, t) v(x, t) dx dt$ by $\int \text{Tr}(uv^*) dx dt$ where v^* is the adjoint matrix to $v(x, t)$. We do not assume

that matrices $A_j^{(p)}, V^{(p)}$ are self-adjoint. In the latter case Lemma 2.1 can be obtained by the BC-method (see[B], [KKL]). Extend φ^{-1} from $\overline{U_2}$ to $\overline{\Omega^{(2)}}$ in such a way that $\varphi = I$ on $\partial\Omega_0$ and φ is a diffeomorphism of $\overline{\Omega^{(2)}}$ and $\tilde{\Omega}^{(2)} = \varphi^{-1}(\overline{\Omega^{(2)}})$. Also extend $g(x)$ from $\overline{U_1}$ to $\tilde{\Omega}^{(2)}$ so that $g(x) \in G_0(\tilde{\Omega}_2)$, $g = I$ on $\partial\Omega_0$. Then we get that $\tilde{L}^{(2)} = g \circ \varphi \circ L^{(2)} = L^{(1)}$ in $U^{(1)}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $L^{(1)}$ and $L^{(2)}$ be the operators of the form (2.4) in $\Omega^{(p)} = \Omega_0 \setminus \overline{\Omega'_p}$, $p = 1, 2$. Let $B \subset \Omega^{(1)} \cap \Omega^{(2)}$ be simply-connected, $\partial B \cap \partial\Omega_0 = \Gamma$ be open and connected, and $\Omega^{(p)} \setminus \overline{B}$ be smooth. Suppose $L^{(2)} = L^{(1)}$ in B and $\Lambda^{(1)} = \Lambda^{(2)}$ on $\partial\Omega_0 \times (0, T_0)$ where $\Lambda^{(p)}$ are the D-to-N operators corresponding to $L^{(p)}$, $p = 1, 2$. Then $\tilde{\Lambda}^{(1)} = \tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}$ where $\tilde{\Lambda}^{(p)}$ are the D-to-N operators corresponding to $L^{(p)}$ in the domains $(\Omega^{(p)} \setminus \overline{B}) \times (\delta, T_0 - \delta)$, $\delta = \max_{x \in \overline{B}} d(x, \partial\Omega_0)$, $d(x, \partial\Omega_0)$ is the distance in $\overline{B}$ between $x \in \overline{B}$ and $\partial\Omega_0$, $\tilde{\Lambda}^{(p)}$ are given on $\partial(\Omega_0 \setminus \overline{B}) \times (\delta, T_0 - \delta)$.*

Therefore Lemma 2.2 reduces the inverse problem in $\Omega^{(p)} \times (0, T_0)$ to the inverse problem in a smaller domain $(\Omega^{(p)} \setminus \overline{B}) \times (\delta, T_0 - \delta)$. Combining Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we can prove that for any $x^{(0)} \in \Omega^{(1)}$ there exist a simply-connected domain $B_1 \subset \Omega^{(1)}$, $x^{(0)} \in B_1$, a diffeomorphism φ of $\tilde{\Omega}^{(2)}$ onto $\overline{\Omega^{(2)}}$, $\varphi = I$ on $\partial\Omega_0$, such that $g \in G_0(\tilde{\Omega}^{(2)})$ such that $\tilde{L}^{(2)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} g \circ \varphi \circ L^{(2)} = L^{(1)}$ in $\overline{B_1}$. To prove the global gauge equivalence and global diffeomorphism in the case when $\Omega^{(1)}$ is not simply-connected we shall use some additional global quantities determined by the D-to-N operator (c.f. [E2]).

3 Global gauge equivalence.

In this section we shall prove Theorem 2.1. Fix arbitrary point $x^{(0)} \in \partial\Omega_0$. Let γ be a path in Ω starting at $x^{(0)}$ and ending at $x^{(1)} \in \overline{\Omega}$, $\gamma(\tau) = x(\tau)$ is the parametric equation of γ , $0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_1$, $x^{(0)} = x(0)$, $x^{(1)} = x(\tau_1)$. Denote by $c^{(p)}(\tau, \gamma)$, $p = 1, 2$, the solution of the system of differential equations

$$(3.1) \quad i \frac{\partial c^{(p)}(\tau, \gamma)}{\partial \tau} = \dot{\gamma}(\tau) \cdot A^{(p)}(x(\tau)) c^{(p)}(\tau, \gamma),$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad c^{(p)}(0, \gamma) = I_m, \quad p = 1, 2, \quad 0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_1,$$

$$(3.3) \quad \dot{\gamma}(\tau) = \frac{dx}{d\tau}.$$

Denote $c^{(p)}(x^{(1)}, \gamma) = c^{(p)}(\tau_1, \gamma)$, $p = 1, 2$.

Lemma 3.1. *Suppose $A^{(1)}$ and $A^{(2)}$ are locally gauge equivalent. Then the matrix $c^{(2)}(x^{(1)}, \gamma)(c^{(1)}(x^{(1)}, \gamma))^{-1}$ depends only on the homotopy class of the path γ connecting $x^{(0)}$ and $x^{(1)}$.*

Proof Let γ_1 and γ_2 be two homotopic paths connecting $x^{(0)}$ and $x^{(1)}$. Consider the path $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1\gamma_2^{-1}$ that starts and ends at $x^{(0)}$. It follows from (3.1) that $c^{(2)}(\tau, \gamma)(c^{(1)}(\tau, \gamma))^{-1}$ satisfies the following system of differential equations:

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (c^{(2)}(\tau, \gamma)(c^{(1)}(\tau, \gamma))^{-1}) &= \dot{\gamma}(\tau) \cdot A^{(2)}(x(\tau))(c^{(2)}(\tau, \gamma)(c^{(1)}(\tau, \gamma))^{-1}) \\ &\quad - (c^{(2)}(\tau, \gamma)(c^{(1)}(\tau, \gamma))^{-1}) A^{(1)}(x(\tau)) \cdot \dot{\gamma}(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Let $b(\tau, \gamma) = c^{(2)}(\tau, \gamma)(c^{(1)}(\tau, \gamma))^{-1}$, $b(x^{(1)}, \gamma_1) = b(\tau_1, \gamma_1)$, $b(x^{(1)}, \gamma_2) = b(\tau_2, \gamma_2)$, where $x = x^{(p)}(\tau)$ are parametric equations of $\gamma^{(p)}$, $0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_p$, $p = 1, 2$. We have that $b(x^{(1)}, \gamma_1) = b(x^{(1)}, \gamma_2)$ iff $b(x^{(0)}, \gamma_0) = I_m$, where $x^{(0)}$ is the endpoint of path $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1\gamma_2^{-1}$ and $b(x^{(0)}, \gamma_2)$ is the value at the endpoint of the solution of (3.4) along γ_0 with the initial value (3.2). If γ_0 can be contracted to a point in $\bar{\Omega}$ there exists closed paths $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_N$ such that $\gamma_0 = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_N$ and each σ_j is contained in a neighborhood $U_j \subset \Omega$ where $A^{(1)}$ and $A^{(2)}$ are gauge equivalent (see Lemma 2.1). We shall show that $b_j(\tau, \sigma_j)$ is continuous on σ_j where $b_j(\tau, \sigma_j)$ is the solution of (3.4) with γ replaced by σ_j , $\sigma_j(\tau) = x^{(j)}(\tau)$, $0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_j$, is the parametric equation of σ_j , $\sigma_j(0) = \sigma_j(\tau_j)$. The continuity on σ_j means that $b(0, \sigma_j) = b(\tau_j, \sigma_j)$. Since $A^{(1)}$ and $A^{(2)}$ are gauge equivalent in U_j there exists $g_j(x) \in C^\infty(\bar{U}_j)$ such that (1.4) holds in U_j . It follows from (3.4) and (1.4) that

$$(3.5) \quad i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} (b_j(\tau, \sigma_j) g_j^{-1}(x^{(j)}(\tau))) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_j.$$

Therefore $b_j(\tau, \sigma_j) g_j^{-1}(x^{(j)}(\tau)) = C$ on σ_j . We have $b_j(0, \sigma_j) = b_j(\tau_j, \sigma_j) = C g_j(x^{(j)}(0))$. Since $b_j(\tau, \sigma_j)$ is continuous on each σ_j , $1 \leq j \leq N$, we get that $b(\tau, \gamma_0)$ is continuous on γ_0 , in particular, $b(x^{(0)}, 0, \gamma_0) = I_m$. Therefore $b(x^{(1)}, \gamma_1) = b(x^{(1)}, \gamma_2)$. $\square$

Now we shall prove that $b(x^{(1)}, \gamma_1) = b(x^{(1)}, \gamma_2)$ for any two paths connecting $x^{(0)}$ and $x^{(1)}$. As in the case of Lemma 3.1 it is enough to prove that $b(\tau, \gamma)$ is continuous on $\gamma_0 = \gamma_1 \gamma_2^{-1}$ where $b(\tau, \gamma_0)$ is the solution of (3.4) for γ_0 .

We say that $\tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{\gamma}_1, \dots, \tilde{\gamma}_N$ is a broken ray in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, T_0]$ with legs $\tilde{\gamma}_j$, $1 \leq j \leq N$, if it starts at some point $(x^{(1)}, t^{(1)}) \in \partial\Omega_0 \times [0, T_0]$, $x = x^{(1)} + \tau\omega$, $t = t^{(1)} + \tau$ is the parametric equation of $\tilde{\gamma}_1$ for $0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_1$. Then $\tilde{\gamma}$ makes $N - 1$ nontangential reflections at $\partial\bar{\Omega}' \times [0, T_0]$, where $\bar{\Omega}' = \cup_{j=1}^r \bar{\Omega}_j$ and ends at $\partial\Omega_0 \times [0, T_0]$. Denote by $\gamma = \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_N$ the projection of $\tilde{\gamma}$ onto the x -plane. Let $c^{(p)}(\tau, \gamma)$ be the solution of the system

$$(3.6) \quad i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} c^{(p)}(\tau, \gamma) = A^{(p)}(\gamma(\tau)) \cdot \dot{\gamma}(\tau) c^{(p)}(\tau, \gamma),$$

$$(3.7) \quad c^{(p)}(0, \gamma) = I_m, \quad p = 1, 2,$$

where $\gamma(\tau)$ is the parametric equation of broken ray, $0 \leq \tau \leq \tau_N$, $\dot{\gamma} = \frac{d\gamma}{d\tau}$ is the direction of the broken ray, $c^{(p)}(\tau, \gamma)$ is continuous on $\gamma(\tau)$. Note that $\frac{d\gamma_j(\tau)}{d\tau} = \theta_j$ is constant on γ_j , $\tau_{j-1} \leq \tau \leq \tau_j$.

The following lemma is the generalization of Theorem 2.1 in [E2]:

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(x^{(N)}, t^{(N)})$ be the endpoint of the broken ray $\tilde{\gamma} : \tilde{\gamma}(\tau_N) = (x^{(N)}, t^{(N)})$. Denote $c^{(p)}(x^{(N)}, \gamma) = c^{(p)}(\tau_N, \gamma)$, $p = 1, 2$. Then $c^{(2)}(x^{(N)}, \gamma) = c^{(1)}(x^{(N)}, \gamma)$ assuming that $\Lambda^{(1)} = \Lambda^{(2)}$ on $\partial\Omega_0 \times (0, T_0)$.*

Assuming that Lemma 3.2 is proven we shall complete the proof of Theorem 2.1

Let γ be a broken ray starting at $x^{(1)}$ and ending at $x^{(N)}$, $x^{(1)} \in \partial\Omega_0$, $x^{(N)} \in \partial\Omega_0$.

Let $c^{(p)}(\tau, \gamma)$ be the solution of (3.6), (3.7). Let α_1 be a path on $\partial\Omega_0$ connecting $x^{(0)}$ and $x^{(1)}$ and let α_2 be a path on $\partial\Omega_0$ connecting $x^{(N)}$ and $x^{(0)}$. Therefore $\alpha = \alpha_1 \gamma \alpha_2$ is a closed path starting and ending at $x^{(0)}$. If $U_j \cap \partial\Omega_0 \neq \emptyset$ then the gauge $g_j = I_m$ on $\partial\Omega_0$. Therefore $\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g_j \cdot \vec{l} = 0$ on $U_j \cap \partial\Omega_0$ for any vector $\vec{l} \in \mathbf{R}^n$ tangent to $\partial\Omega_0$. Then (1.4) implies that $A^{(2)} \cdot \vec{l} = A^{(1)} \cdot \vec{l}$. It follows from (3.1), (3.2) that $c^{(1)}(\tau, \alpha_1) = c^{(2)}(\tau, \alpha_1)$ and $c^{(1)}(\tau, \alpha_2) = c^{(2)}(\tau, \alpha_2)$. Therefore $b(\tau, \alpha)$ is continuous on $\alpha = \alpha_1 \gamma \alpha_2$. We shall call $\alpha = \alpha_1 \gamma \alpha_2$ an extended broken ray.

We shall assume for simplicity that extended broken rays generate the homotopy group of Ω . Otherwise we can, as in the end of §2, construct a simply-connected domain $\Omega^{(0)}$ such that $\overline{\Omega^{(0)}} \subset \overline{\Omega}$, $\partial\Omega^{(0)} \supset \partial\Omega_0$, $\partial\Omega^{(0)} \cap \overline{\Omega'} = \emptyset$ and $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega^{(0)}}$ is "thin", i.e. the volume of $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega^{(0)}}$ is small. Since $\Omega^{(0)}$ is homotopic to $\partial\Omega_0$ we get, using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 that potentials $A^{(1)}$ and $A^{(2)}$ are globally gauge equivalent in $\overline{\Omega^{(0)}}$. Therefore the proof of global gauge equivalence in $\overline{\Omega}$ can be reduced to the proof of the global gauge equivalence in $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega^{(0)}}$. It is clear that the extended broken rays in $\Omega \setminus \overline{\Omega^{(0)}}$ generate the fundamental group $\pi_1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \overline{\Omega^{(0)}})$. Note that rays without reflections also generated the fundamental group $\pi_1(\overline{\Omega} \setminus \Omega^{(0)})$. Then the closed path γ_0 is homotopic to $\alpha^{(1)} \dots \alpha^{(N_1)}$ where $\alpha^{(j)}$ are extended broken rays. Since $b(\tau, \alpha^{(j)})$ is continuous on $\alpha^{(j)}$, $j = 1, \dots, N_1$, we get that $b(\tau, \gamma_0)$ is continuous on γ_0 . It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $b(\tau, \sigma_j) = I_m$ on $\partial\Omega_0$ and hence $b(\tau, \gamma_0) = I_m$ on $\partial\Omega_0$. Therefore we proved that $c^{(2)}(x^{(1)}, \gamma)(c^{(1)}(x^{(1)}, \gamma))^{-1}$ does not depend on the path γ connecting $x^{(0)}$ and $x^{(1)}$. Denote $g(x^{(1)}) = c^{(2)}(x^{(1)}, \gamma)(c^{(1)}(x^{(1)}, \gamma))^{-1}$. We have that $g(x)$ is a single-valued matrix on $\overline{\Omega}$, $g(x) = I_m$ on $\partial\Omega_0$ and $g(x)$ is nonsingular since $c^{(p)}(x, \gamma)$ are nonsingular, $p = 1, 2$. We have for arbitrary $x^{(1)}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.8) \quad A^{(2)}(x(\tau)) \cdot \dot{\gamma}(\tau) &= i \frac{\partial c^{(2)}(\tau, \gamma)}{\partial \tau} (c^{(2)}(\tau, \gamma))^{-1} \\
&= (i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g(x(\tau)) \cdot \dot{\gamma}(\tau) c^{(1)} + i g(x(\tau)) \frac{\partial c^{(1)}}{\partial \tau}) (c^{(1)}(\tau, \gamma))^{-1} g^{-1} \\
&= i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \cdot \dot{\gamma} g^{-1} + g A^{(1)} \cdot \dot{\gamma}(\tau) g^{-1} = (i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g g^{-1} + g A^{(1)} g^{-1}) \cdot \dot{\gamma}(\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Since we can choose $\gamma(\tau)$ such that $\gamma(\tau_1) = x^{(1)}$ and $\dot{\gamma}(\tau)$ is arbitrary at $\tau = \tau_1$, we get that

$$(3.9) \quad A^{(2)}(x^{(1)}) = i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} g \right) g^{-1}(x^{(1)}) + g(x^{(1)}) A^{(1)}(x^{(1)}) g^{-1}(x^{(1)}),$$

i.e. $A^{(2)}$ is gauge equivalent to $A^{(1)}$ in $\overline{\Omega}$. We can change $A^{(1)}$ to $A' = g A^{(1)} g^{-1} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} g g^{-1}$, $V' = g V^{(1)} g^{-1}$. Then we will have $A^{(2)} = A^{(1)}$. Therefore applying Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we get that $V^{(2)} = V'$ in $\overline{\Omega}$. Therefore $V^{(2)} = g V^{(1)} g^{-1}$ where $g(x)$ is the same as in (3.9). $\square$

It remains to prove Lemma 3.2. In the case when the broken ray $\gamma = \gamma_1 \dots \gamma_M$ does not contain caustics points the proof of Lemma 3.2 is the same as the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [E2]. We shall consider the case when γ has

some caustics points and we shall simplify also the proof of Theorem 2.1 in [E2]. However in this paper we shall not use rays having caustics points. Consider, for simplicity, the case $n = 2$ and $x_* \in \gamma_M$ is the only caustics point on γ . We also assume that the caustics point is generic (see [V]). Note that if x_* is not generic but the broken ray γ can be approximated by a sequence of broken rays having only generic caustics points, then Lemma 3.2 holds for such γ too. This fact suggests that Lemma 3.2 is likely true for any broken ray.

Let $\chi_0(y') \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$, $y' = (y_1, y_2)$, $\chi_0(y') \geq 0$, $\chi_0(y') = 0$ for $|y'| > 1$, $\chi_0(y') = 1$ for $|y'| < \frac{1}{2}$, $\int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \chi_0^2(y') dy' = 1$. Denote

$$(3.10) \quad \chi(y') = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \chi_0\left(\frac{y'}{\varepsilon}\right).$$

We shall heavily use the notations of [E2, §2]. The difference with [E2] is that in this paper we consider the broken ray $\tilde{\gamma}$ in $\Omega \times [0, T_0]$ and its projection on Ω will be the broken ray γ considered in [E2].

Let Π be a plane in $\mathbf{R}^2 \times \mathbf{R}$, $(x, t) \in \Pi$ if $x = x_0^{(0)} + y_1 \omega_\perp$, $t = y_2 + t^{(0)}$, where $\omega_\perp \cdot \omega = 0$, $x^{(0)} \notin \Omega$ and the plane Π does not intersect $\bar{\Omega} \times \mathbf{R}$. We denote by $\tilde{\gamma}(y') = \tilde{\gamma}_0(y') \tilde{\gamma}_1(y') \dots \tilde{\gamma}_M(y')$ the broken ray starting at $(x^{(0)} + y_1 \omega_\perp, t^{(0)} + y_2)$ in the direction $(\omega, 1)$, $y' = (y_1, y_2)$. Then the equation of $\tilde{\gamma}_0(y')$ is $x = x^{(0)} + y_1 \omega_\perp + t_0 \omega$, $t = t^{(0)} + y_2 + t_0$, $0 \leq t_0 \leq t_0(y_1)$, where $(x^{(0)} + y_1 \omega_\perp + t_0(y_1) \omega, t^{(0)} + y_2 + t_0(y_1)) = \tilde{P}_1$ is the point where $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ hits $\partial\Omega' \times (0, T_0)$. As in [E2] we introduce "ray coordinates" (s_p, t_p) in the neighborhood of γ_p , $0 \leq p \leq M$. Denote by $D_j(x(s_j, t_j))$ the Jacobian of the change of coordinates $x = x^{(j)}(s_j, t_j)$. Let $\tilde{P}_j$ be the points of reflections of $\tilde{\gamma}(y')$ at $\partial\Omega'$, $1 \leq j \leq M$. Denote by P_j the projection of $\tilde{P}_j$ on the x -plane. Note that the time coordinate of $\tilde{P}_j$ is $t^{(j)} = t^{(0)} + y_2 + \sum_{r=0}^j t_r(y_1)$ where $t_r(y_1)$ is the distance between P_r and P_{r-1} . Note that $t = t^{(j)} + t_j$ on $\tilde{\gamma}_j$, $0 \leq t_j \leq t_j(y_1)$.

Let $L^{(p)}$ be the same as in (2.1), $p = 1, 2$. We construct a solution of $L^{(1)}u = 0$ of the form (c.f. (2.1), (2.9) in [E2], see also the earlier work [I]):

$$(3.11) \quad u(x, t, \omega) = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} u_j(x, t, \omega) + u_{M1} + u_{M2} + u_{M3} + u^{(1)},$$

where the principal part of u_j has a form

$$(3.12) \quad u_{j0} = a_{j0}(x, t, \omega) e^{ik(\psi_j(x, \omega) - t)},$$

$\psi_j(x, \omega)$ are the same as in (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) in [E2] and

$$(3.13) \quad a_{j0} = (a_{j-1,0} |D_j|^{\frac{1}{2}}) \big|_{P_j} \frac{1}{|D_j|^{\frac{1}{2}}} c_{j1}(x, \omega),$$

where $c_{j1}(x, \omega)$ is the solution of the system

$$(3.14) \quad i\theta_j \cdot \nabla c_{j1} = (A^{(1)} \cdot \theta_j) c_{j1}, \quad t_{j-1}(y_1) \leq t_j \leq t_j(y_1), \quad c_{j1} \big|_{P_j} = I_m,$$

$0 \leq j \leq M$, $\nabla = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$, θ_j is the direction of γ_j , $\theta_0 = \omega$.

We shall assume that

$$(3.15) \quad u_0(x, t, \omega) = \chi(y') \alpha_0$$

on the plane Π , i.e. when $t_0 = 0$ and $x = x^{(0)} + y_1 \omega_\perp$, $t = t^{(0)} + y_2$. Here α_0 is an arbitrary constant matrix.

Let $(x_*, t_*) \in \tilde{\gamma}_M$ be such that x_* is the caustics point in the x -plane. Note that u_{M1} has the same form as u_{M-1} for $t < t_* - C\varepsilon$, where ε is the same as in (3.10), solution u_{M2} is defined in a $C\varepsilon$ -neighborhood U_ε of (x_*, t_*) . We will not write the explicit form of u_{M2} (see, for example, [V]) since we will only need an estimate

$$(3.16) \quad |u_{M2}| \leq \frac{Ck^{\frac{1}{6}}}{1 + k^{\frac{1}{6}} d^{\frac{1}{4}}(x)},$$

where $d(x)$ is the distance from $x \in U_{0,\varepsilon}$ to the caustics curve. Such estimate holds in the generic case (see [V]). Moreover,

$$|\nabla u_{M2}| \leq \frac{Ck^{\frac{7}{6}}}{1 + k^{\frac{1}{6}} d^{\frac{1}{4}}(x)}.$$

Finally, u_{M3} is defined for $t > t_* + C\varepsilon$ and it has the same form as u_{M1} . The main difference is that the amplitude of u_{M3} has an extra factor $e^{i\beta}$ where β is real. The construction and the estimate of $u^{(1)}$ in (3.11) is similar to [E2, Lemma 2.1] with the simplification that we consider the hyperbolic initial-boundary value problem with the zero initial conditions when $t = 0$ and zero boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega \times (0, T_0)$ instead of (2.9) in [E2]. Since we assumed that T_0 is large enough we get that the endpoint of $\tilde{\gamma}_M$ belongs to $\partial\Omega_0 \times (0, T_0)$.

We construct a solution $v(x, t, \omega)$ of $L_2^* v = 0$ similar to (3.11) with the same initial data as (3.15) for v_0 with α_0 replaced by β_0 where β_0 is an arbitrary constant matrix and with the same phase function $\psi_j(x, \omega)$, $0 \leq j \leq M$, as in (3.12): We have

$$(3.17) \quad v = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} v_j(x, t, \omega) + v_{M1} + v_{M2} + v_{M3} + v^{(1)}(x, t, \omega),$$

where the principal term of v_j has the following form:

$$(3.18) \quad v_{j0} = b_{j0}(x, t, \omega) e^{ik(\psi_j(x, \omega) - t)},$$

where b_{j0} are the same as a_{j0} with $c_{j1}(x, \omega)$ replaced by $c_{*,j}(x, \omega)$ where $c_{*,j}$ is the solution of the system

$$(3.19) \quad i\theta_j \cdot \nabla c_{*,j} = (\theta_j \cdot (A^{(2)})^*) c_{*,j}.$$

Taking the adjoint of (3.19) we get

$$(3.20) \quad -i\theta_j \cdot \nabla c_{*,j}^* = c_{*,j}^* (\theta_j \cdot A^{(2)}).$$

Denote

$$(3.21) \quad c_{j2} = (c_{*,j}^*)^{-1}.$$

Then (3.20) implies that

$$(3.22) \quad i\theta_j \cdot \nabla c_{j2} = (\theta_j \cdot A^{(2)}) c_{j2}.$$

We assume that $v^{(1)}$ satisfies zero initial conditions when $t = T$, $x \in \Omega$, and zero boundary conditions on $\partial\Omega \times (0, T_0)$. Substitute (3.11) instead of $u^{(1)}$ and (3.17) instead of $v^{(2)}$ in the Green's formula. Dividing by $2k$ and passing to the limit when $k \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain (c.f. [E2]):

$$(3.23) \quad 0 = \sum_{j=0}^{M-1} \int_{\Omega} \int_0^T ((A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) \cdot \nabla(\psi_j - t) a_{j0}, b_{j0}) dx dt + I_M,$$

where I_M is the integral over a neighborhood of γ_M . We make a series of changes of variables as in (2.43) in [E2].

Note that the Jacobian $D_M(x^{(M)}(s_M, t_M))$ vanishes on the caustics set and therefore D_M^{-1} has a singularity there. However when we make changes of variables this singularity in u_{M1}, v_{M1} and in u_{M3}, v_{M3} cancels. Note also that the estimate (3.16) implies that the integral over the neighborhood U_ε is $O(\sqrt{\varepsilon})$. Therefore taking into account that α_0 and β_0 are arbitrary matrices we get

$$(3.24) \quad \sum_{j=0}^M \int_{\mathbf{R}^2} \int_{\tilde{\gamma}_j(y')} \chi^2(y') c_{j2}^{-1}(A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) \cdot \theta_j c_{j1} dt_j dy' + O(\sqrt{\varepsilon}) = 0,$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}(y')$ is the broken ray starting at $(x^{(0)} + y_1 \omega_\perp, t^{(0)} + y_2)$ and we use in (3.24) that $c_{*j}^* = c_{j2}^{-1}$ (see (3.21)). Note that

$$(3.25) \quad c_{j2}^{-1}(A^{(1)} - A^{(2)}) \cdot \theta_j c_{j1} = i \theta_j \cdot \nabla(c_{j2}^{-1} c_{j1}),$$

since $-c_{j2}^{-1}(A^{(2)} \cdot \theta_j) = i \theta_j \cdot \nabla c_{j2}^{-1}$. After changes of variables c_{j1} and c_{j2} in (3.24) satisfy the differential equations (3.14), (3.22) but the initial conditions are different :

$$(3.26) \quad c_{ji} |_{P_j} = c_{j-1,i} |_{P_j}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq M, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

We kept the same notation for the simplicity. Taking the limit in (3.24) when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ we get

$$\sum_{j=0}^M \int_{\gamma_j} \theta_j \cdot \nabla(c_{j2}^{-1} c_{j1}) dt_j = \sum_{j=0}^M [(c_{j2}^{-1} c_{j1}) |_{P_j} - (c_{j2}^{-1} c_{j1}) |_{P_{j-1}}] = 0.$$

Since $c_{0i} |_{P_0} = I_m$ and (3.26) holds we get that $c_{M2}^{-1} c_{M1} |_{P_M} = I_m$, i.e. $c_{M1} |_{P_M} = c_{M2} |_{P_M}$. Lemma 3.2 is proven. $\square$

4 Global diffeomorphism.

Let $L^{(1)}u^{(1)} = 0$ and $L^{(2)}u^{(2)} = 0$ be equations of the form (2.4) in domains $\Omega^{(p)} = \Omega_0 \setminus \Omega'_p$, where $\Omega'_p = \cup_{j=1}^r \Omega_{jp}$, $p = 1, 2$. We assume that the initial conditions (2.2) in $\Omega^{(p)}$, $p = 1, 2$ and the boundary conditions (2.3) with Ω_j replaced by Ω_{jp} , $p = 1, 2$, are satisfied.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $\Lambda^{(1)} = \Lambda^{(2)}$ on $\partial\Omega_0$, where $\Lambda^{(p)}$ are the D-to-N operators corresponding to $L^{(p)}$, $p = 1, 2$. Suppose*

$$T_0 > 2 \min_p \max_{x \in \overline{\Omega^{(p)}}} d_p(x, \partial\Omega_0)$$

where d_p is the distance with respect to the metric tensor $\|g_p^{jk}\|^{-1}$. Then there exists a diffeomorphism φ of $\overline{\Omega^{(1)}}$ onto $\overline{\Omega^{(2)}}$ such that $\varphi = I$ on $\partial\Omega_0$ and $\|g_2^{jk}\| = \varphi \circ \|g_1^{jk}\|$.

We shall sketch the proof of Theorem 4.1 assuming for the simplicity that $m = 1$, $A_j^{(p)} \equiv 0$, $1 \leq j \leq r$, $p = 1, 2$, and $T_0 = \infty$. By using Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 we can get a simply-connected domain $\Omega^{(0)} \subset \Omega^{(1)}$ such that $\Omega^{(1)} \setminus \Omega^{(0)}$ has a small volume. Moreover there exists a diffeomorphism $\tilde{\varphi}$ of $\Omega^{(2)}$ onto $\tilde{\Omega}^{(2)} = \tilde{\varphi}^{-1}(\Omega^{(2)})$, $\tilde{\varphi} = I$ on $\partial\Omega_0$ such that $\tilde{L}^{(2)} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \tilde{\varphi} \circ L_2$ is equal to $L^{(1)}$ in $\Omega^{(0)}$. Note that $\Omega^{(0)} \subset \Omega^{(1)} \cap \tilde{\Omega}^{(2)}$. We also get from Lemma 2.2 that $\Lambda^{(1)} = \tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}$ on $\partial\Omega^{(0)} \setminus \partial\Omega_0$ where $\tilde{\Lambda}^{(2)}$ is the D-to-N operator corresponding to $\tilde{L}^{(2)}$. Since $\Omega^{(1)} \setminus \Omega^{(0)}$ is thin, there is an open subset Γ_1 of $\partial\Omega^{(0)}$ such that the endpoints of geodesics corresponding to $L^{(1)}$ in $\Omega^{(1)} \setminus \Omega^{(0)}$, orthogonal to Γ_1 , form an open subset $\Gamma_2 \subset \partial\Omega^{(0)}$. Denote by $D_1 \subset \Omega^{(1)} \setminus \overline{\Omega^{(0)}}$ the union of these geodesics. It follows from the proof of Lemma 2.1 (see [E1]) that $\Lambda^{(1)}$ on Γ_1 uniquely determines the metric tensor $\|g_1^{jk}\|^{-1}$ in the semi-geodesic coordinates in D_1 . Denote by ψ_1 the map of D_1 on $\tilde{D}_1 = \psi_1(D_1)$ such that $\psi_1(x)$ are the semi-geodesic coordinates in $\tilde{D}_1$. Analogously let D_2 be the union of all geodesics of $\tilde{L}^{(2)}$ orthogonal to Γ_1 and let $\Gamma'_2 \subset \partial\Omega^{(0)}$ be the set of its endpoints. Denote by $\psi_2(x)$ the semi-geodesic coordinates for $\tilde{L}^{(2)}$ and let $\tilde{D}_2 = \psi_2(D_2)$. By Lemma 2.1 $\psi_1 \circ L^{(1)} = \psi_2 \circ \tilde{L}^{(2)}$ in $\tilde{D}_1 \cap \tilde{D}_2$. It follows from Lemma 2.1 that $\psi_j = I$ on Γ_1 . Note that $\Omega^{(2)} \setminus \Omega^{(0)}$ coincide with $\Omega^{(1)} \setminus \Omega^{(0)}$ near Γ_1 .

Lemma 4.1. *The following equalities hold: $\tilde{D}_1 = \tilde{D}_2$ and $\psi = \psi_2^{-1}\psi_1 = I$ on Γ_2 .*

Proof: Since we assume that $T_0 = \infty$ we can switch to the inverse problem for the equations of the form (1.1). Choose parameter $k \in \mathbf{C}$ such that the boundary value problem of the form (1.1), (1.2), (1.3) has a unique solution u_p for any $f \in H_{\frac{1}{2}}(\partial\Omega^{(0)} \setminus \partial\Omega_0)$ where f is the same for $p = 1$ and $p = 2$. Choose f nonsmooth. Denote $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 = \psi_1^{-1}(\Gamma_2)$, $\tilde{\Gamma}'_2 = \psi_2^{-1}(\Gamma'_2)$. It follows from the unique continuation theorem that $\psi_1 \circ u_1 = \psi_2 \circ u_2$ in $\tilde{D}_2 \cap \tilde{D}_1$ since

the Cauchy data of u_1 and u_2 coincide on Γ_1 . Here $L^{(p)}u_p = 0$, $p = 1, 2$. If $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 \neq \tilde{\Gamma}'_2$ we get a contradiction since $\psi_2 \circ u_1$ is C^∞ outside $\tilde{\Gamma}_2$ and $\psi_1 \circ u_2$ is C^∞ outside $\tilde{\Gamma}'_2$. Therefore $\tilde{D}_1 = \tilde{D}_2$ and $\psi = \psi_2^{-1}\psi_1$ is a diffeomorphism of D_1 onto D_2 . Since $\tilde{\Gamma}_2 = \tilde{\Gamma}'_2$ we have $\Gamma'_2 = \psi(\Gamma_2)$. Since $\psi_1 \circ u_1 = \psi_2 \circ u_2$ in $\tilde{D}_1 = \tilde{D}_2$ and $u_1 = u_2 = f(x)$ on Γ_2 we get $f(x) = f(\psi(x))$ on Γ_2 . Since f is arbitrary this implies that $\psi = I$ on Γ_2 . $\square$

Therefore $\psi = I$ on $\partial D_1 \cap \partial\Omega^{(0)}$. Define $\varphi^{(1)} = \tilde{\varphi}$ on $\Omega^{(0)}$, $\varphi^{(1)} = \psi \circ \tilde{\varphi}$ on D_1 . We get that $\varphi^{(1)} \circ L^{(2)} = L^{(1)}$ in $\overline{\Omega^{(0)}} \cup \overline{D_1}$.

Applying Lemma 2.2 to $\Omega^{(p)} \setminus (\overline{\Omega^{(0)}} \cup \overline{D_1})$ and using again Lemmas 4.1, 2.1 and 2.2 we prove Theorem 4.1.

Remark 4.1 (c.f. [E1]). We shall show now that the obstacles can be recovered up to the diffeomorphism. Let γ_0 be an open subset of $\partial\Omega^{(0)}$ close to the obstacle Ω'_1 . Denote by Δ_1 the union of all geodesics in $\Omega^{(1)}$ orthogonal to γ_0 and ending on Ω'_1 . Denote by γ_1 the intersection of $\overline{\Delta_1}$ and $\overline{\Omega'_1}$. Introduce semi-geodesic coordinates for $L^{(1)}$ in Δ_1 . Let φ_1 be the change of variables to the semi-geodesic coordinates and let $\tilde{\Delta}_1 = \varphi_1(\Delta_1)$. Let φ_2 be the change of variables to the semi-geodesic coordinates for $\tilde{L}^{(2)}$ in Δ_2 where Δ_2 is the union of all geodesics of $\tilde{L}^{(2)}$ orthogonal to γ_0 and ending on Ω'_2 . Let $\gamma_2 = \overline{\Delta_2} \cap \partial\Omega'_2$, $\tilde{\gamma}_2 = \varphi_2(\gamma_2)$, $\tilde{\Delta}_2 = \varphi_2(\Delta_2)$. Let $L^{(1)}u_1 = 0$ be a geometric optics solution in $\Omega^{(1)} \setminus \Omega^{(0)}$ similar to constructed in §3 that starts on γ_0 , reflects at $\partial\Omega'_i$ and leaves $\Omega^{(1)} \setminus \Omega^{(0)}$ again on γ_0 . Let u_2 be the solution of $\tilde{L}^{(2)}u_2 = 0$ in $\Omega^{(2)} \setminus \Omega^{(0)}$ having the same boundary data as u_1 . Since $\varphi_1 \circ L^{(1)} = \varphi_2 \circ \tilde{L}^{(2)}$ in $\tilde{\Delta}_1 \cap \tilde{\Delta}_2$ and since $\varphi_1 \circ u_1$ and $\varphi_2 \circ u_2$ have the same Cauchy data on γ_0 we get by the uniqueness continuation theorem that $\varphi_1 \circ u_1 = \varphi_2 \circ u_2$ in $\tilde{\Delta}_1 \cap \tilde{\Delta}_2$. If $\tilde{\gamma}_1 \neq \tilde{\gamma}_2$ then we can find u_1 such that $\varphi_1 \circ u_1$ and $\varphi_2 \circ u_2$ will have different point of reflection and this will contradict that $\varphi_1 \circ u_1 = \varphi_2 \circ u_2$ in $\tilde{\Delta}_1 \cap \tilde{\Delta}_2$. Since $\tilde{\gamma}_1 = \tilde{\gamma}_2$ we get that $\varphi(\gamma_1) = \gamma_2 \subset \partial\Omega'_2$ and $\varphi(\Delta_1) = \Delta_2$ where $\varphi = \varphi_2^{-1}\varphi_1$. $\square$

Remark 4.2 Note that Lemma 4.1 allows to consider the inverse problems in multi-connected domains Ω with the D-to-N operator given on a not connected part Γ_0 of $\partial\Omega$.

References

- [AB] Aharonov, Y. and Bohm, D., 1959, Significance of electromagnetic potentials in quantum theory, Phys. Rev. 115, 485-91 (second series)

- [B] Belishev, M., 1997, Boundary control in reconstruction of manifolds and metrics (the BC method), *Inverse Problems* 13, R1-R45
- [B1] Belishev, M., 2002, How to see waves under the Earth's surface (the BC-method for geophysicists), *Ill -Posed and Inverse Problems*, 55-72 (S.Kabanikhin and V.Romanov (Eds), VSP)
- [E] Eskin, G., 2001, Global uniqueness in the inverse scattering problem for the Schrödinger operator with external Yang-Mills potentials, *Commun. Math. Phys.* 222, 503-531
- [E1] Eskin, G., 2003, A new approach to the hyperbolic inverse problems (preprint)
- [E2] Eskin, G., 2004, Inverse boundary value problems in domains with several obstacles, *Inverse Problems* 20, 1-20
- [E3] Eskin, G., 2003, Inverse boundary value problems and the Aharonov-Bohm effect, *Inverse Problems* 19, 49-62
- [I] Isakov, V., 1991, An inverse hyperbolic problem with many boundary measurements, *Comm. P.D.E.* 16, 1183-1195
- [KKL] Katchalov, A., Kurylev, Y., Lassas, M., 2001, *Inverse boundary spectral problems* (Boca Raton : Chapman&Hall)
- [KL] Kurylev, Y. and Lassas, M., 2000, Hyperbolic inverse problems with data on a part of the boundary *AMS/1P Stud. Adv. Math*, 16, 259-272
- [V] Vainberg, B., 1989, *Asymptotic methods in equations of mathematical physics* (New York: Gordon and Breach)
- [Va] Varadarajan, V.S., 2003, *Vector bundles and connections in physics and mathematics : some historical remarks*, *Trends Math*, 502-541, Birkhauser, Basel
- [WY] Wu, I.I. and Yang, C.N., 1975, *Phys. Rev. D* 12, 3845